

APPLICATION NO.

09/943,941

SUITE 2110

AUSTIN, TX 78759

45503

United States Patent and Trademark Office

FILING DATE

08/31/2001

04/06/2005

7590

8911 N. CAPITAL OF TEXAS HWY.,

DILLON & YUDELL LLP

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

PAPER NUMBER

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
RPS920010141US1	2053
EXAM	NER

DATE MAILED: 04/06/2005

ART UNIT

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR

Thomas Joseph Prorock

Application No. Applicant(s) Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief PROROCK, THOMAS JOSEPH 09/943.941 (37 CFR 41.37) Examiner Art Unit Jeffrey D. Carlson 3622 --The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--The Appeal Brief filed on 07 January 2005 is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 41.37.

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file a complete new brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 within ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.

- The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.
- 2. 🔯 The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed or confirmed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).
- At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).
- 4. 🛛 (a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).
- 5. \square The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi))
- The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).
- 7. The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).
- The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner and relied upon by appellant in the appeal, along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).
- 9. The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding identified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(x)).
- Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):
 - 2: The status (canceled) of claims 5-8 is not stated.
 - 3: The status (to be entered upon appeal) of the after final amendment filed 10/12/2004 is not stated.
 - 4: There is no mapping to the specification regarding the "means for" language of independent claim 10 (and optionally claims 11-13). .

Jeffrey D. Carlson Primary Examiner

MPLA

Art Unit: 3622